**Educator Preparation Curriculum Committee (EPCC) Proposal Review Summary**

**Jan. 9, 2019**

1. Call to Order
2. Approval of Agenda
3. Approval of Minutes
4. Informational Items and Announcements
5. Business
6. Adjournment

**Old Business**

**See Separate Document called “ECE Edits”**

**New Business**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Proposal** | **Type** | **Proposal Comments** | **Syllabus/Document Comments** |
| Music Education, B.M. | Change to Existing Program – Undergrad v5.0 | MLWITEC: Is there a document comparing the current program to the proposed program? The “side-by-side" appears to only outline the program. Also, the “cross-walk” only lists the changes rather than explain the requirement requested in Curriculog.  Loomis: Agreed-Need a side-by-side and crosswalk to provide a visual representation for comparison of current and proposed program.  DC: Agree. Maybe highlight areas in current program that will be changed in proposed.  Perhaps rename the “crosswalk” to “summary of changes” if that’s the purpose?  MLF: How does the Applied Instruction fit under the Admission Requirements section? Should this go elsewhere?  MLF: Admission to Teacher Education – Might a statement be added at the beginning of the Admissions Requirements to say that admission to the School of Music AND admission to Teacher Education are both required? Otherwise, most won’t scroll through all that information and even get to the teacher education part. | Jayoung Choi:  Cross-walk document does not seem to address the following: “I have attached a cross-walk document showing how students using previous catalog years will be able to complete those degree requirements.”  Instead, it lists only a summary of changes that are proposed. |